

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT  
WILD TURKEY POPULATION ENHANCEMENT

CHAPTER 1. SUMMARY .....	1
SUMMARY OF PROPOSED ACTIONS .....	1
PUBLIC INPUT AND AGENCY CONSULTATION .....	2
AREAS OF CONTROVERSY .....	3
SCOPE OF DEIR .....	5
EFFECTS NOT FOUND TO BE SIGNIFICANT .....	7
EFFECTS TO THE ENVIRONMENT .....	8
ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED .....	9
CONCLUSION .....	10
CHAPTER 2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....	12
PROPOSED PROJECT .....	12
Site Selection .....	12
Post-Release Monitoring .....	13
Disease Testing .....	13
Coordination with Land Management Agencies .....	13
Project Changes .....	14
PROJECT OBJECTIVES .....	15
Project Need .....	15
Statutes and Policies .....	15
PROJECT LOCATIONS .....	16
CHAPTER 3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	
WILD TURKEY NATURAL HISTORY, BIOLOGY, AND HABITAT RELATIONSHIPS .....	26
Natural History and Distribution .....	26
General Biology .....	28
Habitat Requirements .....	29
Foraging Ecology and Food Habits .....	30
WILD TURKEY POPULATIONS IN CALIFORNIA .....	35
Historical Perspective .....	35
Current Range of Wild Turkeys in California .....	37
HABITAT CONDITIONS AT PROPOSED RELEASE SITES .....	40
Habitat Suitability .....	40
Sensitive Flora and Fauna Present in Release Areas .....	40

CHAPTER 4. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT .....	61
POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS TO BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES .....	62
EFFECTS TO SENSITIVE PLANTS .....	63
Methods .....	63
Grasses .....	69
Herbaceous Vegetation .....	72
Annual Herbs .....	73
Perennial Herbs .....	80
Perennial Herbs Arising from Bulbs .....	88
Shrubs .....	90
Indirect Effects to Plants .....	91
Summary of Effects to Plants .....	93
EFFECTS TO SENSITIVE ANIMALS .....	95
Methods .....	95
Effects to Invertebrates .....	96
Effects to Amphibians and Reptiles .....	97
Effects to Birds .....	103
Effects to Mammals .....	115
Effects to Fish .....	118
Effects to Other Galliformes .....	118
Summary of Effects to Animals .....	119
POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS TO LAND USE AND PLANNING ....	120
CHAPTER 5. ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT ....	121
NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE .....	122
OTHER ALTERNATIVES .....	123
Alternative 1: Release turkeys at Sierra and Plumas sites and investigate effects to sensitive plant communities .....	123
Alternative 2: Range expansion throughout suitable habitat statewide ..	127
CHAPTER 6. OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS .....	130
CUMULATIVE IMPACTS .....	130
SIGNIFICANT UNAVOIDABLE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS .....	130
SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES .....	130
GROWTH-INDUCING IMPACTS .....	131
LITERATURE CITED .....	132

## List of Tables

Table 3.1.	Special Status Plants Potentially Present at Proposed Wild Turkey Release Sites .....	49
Table 3.2.	Special Status Animals Potentially Present at Proposed Wild Turkey Release Sites .....	57
Table 4.1.	Sensitive Plants Potentially Present in the Project Areas that Have Been Documented as Wild Turkey Food Items .....	65

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1.	Wild Turkey Population Enhancement, Proposed Release Sites Statewide .....	17
Figure 2.2.	Wild Turkey Population Enhancement, Proposed Release Site in El Dorado County: Pea Vine Ridge .....	19
Figure 2.3.	Wild Turkey Population Enhancement, Proposed Release Site in Sierra County: Antelope Valley Wildlife Area .....	20
Figure 2.4.	Wild Turkey Population Enhancement, Proposed Release Site in Plumas County: Grizzly Ridge .....	21
Figure 2.5.	Wild Turkey Population Enhancement, Proposed Release Site in Lassen County: Cady Springs .....	23
Figure 2.6.	Wild Turkey Population Enhancement, Proposed Release Site in Shasta County: Pit River .....	24
Figure 2.7.	Wild Turkey Population Enhancement, Proposed Release Site in Mendocino County: Tar Flat .....	25
Figure 3.1.	Distribution of the Wild Turkey Subspecies in the United States and Mexico, 2000 .....	27
Figure 3.2.	WHR Habitat Types Potentially Suitable for Wild Turkeys .....	38
Figure 3.3.	Potentially Suitable Wild Turkey Habitat Based on WHR Habitat Types, Proposed Release Site in El Dorado County: Pea Vine Ridge .....	41
Figure 3.4.	Potentially Suitable Wild Turkey Habitat Based on WHR Habitat Types, Proposed Release Site in Sierra County: Antelope Valley Wildlife Area ..	42
Figure 3.5.	Potentially Suitable Wild Turkey Habitat Based on WHR Habitat Types, Proposed Release Site in Plumas County: Grizzly Ridge .....	43
Figure 3.6.	Potentially Suitable Wild Turkey Habitat Based on WHR Habitat Types, Proposed Release Site in Lassen County: Cady Springs .....	44
Figure 3.7.	Potentially Suitable Wild Turkey Habitat Based on WHR Habitat Types, Proposed Release Site in Shasta County: Pit River .....	45
Figure 3.8.	Potentially Suitable Wild Turkey Habitat Based on WHR Habitat Types, Proposed Release Site in Mendocino County: Tar Flat .....	46

Figure 5.1	Sensitive Plant Communities Based on Focal Species of Concern: Sierra and Plumas Counties .....	125
Figure 5.2	Sensitive Plant Communities Based on Focal Species of Concern Antelope Valley Wildlife Area .....	126
Figure 5.3	Wild Turkey Release Sites Alternative 3 .....	129

## List of Appendices

Appendix A	
Notice of Preparation of Draft Environmental Impact Report for Wild Turkey Population Management an Enhancement by the Department of Fish and Game .....	A-1
Appendix B	
Mailing List of Interested Parties Who Received Notice of Preparation .....	A-25
Appendix C	
Wild Turkey Disease Testing Protocol .....	A-27
Appendix D	
Review of Wild Turkey Food Habits Literature .....	A-33
Appendix E	
Historic Wild Turkey Releases in California .....	A-53
Appendix F	
Known Extant Distribution of Sensitive Flora .....	A-60